UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/563,795 | 02/21/2006 | Michihiro Hide | 2006-0009A | 3229 | |
| | 7590 07/15/200 , LIND & PONACK, I | EXAMINER | | | |
| 1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503 | | | ROONEY, NORA MAUREEN | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1644 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 07/15/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/563,795 | HIDE ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | NORA IVI. ROONET | 1044 | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 09 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods: | the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection | n. | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CER 1.136(a). The date | f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, | | | | | | | | |
| may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | e of the infairejeonori, e | veri ii timety med, | | | | | |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two months | s of the date of | | | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l | | | cause | | | | | |
| (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further collished. (b) ☑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | | i E below); | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet | • | ducina or simplifyina tl | ne issues for | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | ter form for appear by materially rec | adding or simplifying the | 10 133003 101 | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | |
| 4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (l | PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | : | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | - | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | I be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 9. | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>11-24</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application ir | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| | /N/aban N/ | | | | | | | |
| | /Maher M. Haddad/ Primary Examiner, Art U | Init 1644 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claim amendment filed on 07/09/2009 changes claim 9 to a non-elected invention that has not been searched or considered.

Continuation of 13. Other: Newly amended claim 9 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claim 9 as amended on 07/09/2009 is directed to a method of purifying a human sweat fraction. However, in the response filed on 04/03/2008 Application elected the invention directed to a composition of Group I. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.